

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
IN AND FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

v.

MCN: _____

SCN: _____

_____, Defendant.

AUTHORIZATION FOR FUNDS UP TO \$250
FOR CONSULTATION WITH PADILLA COUNSEL

_____, attorney for the above named defendant has completed a comprehensive intake questionnaire* and provided the same to the below named immigration attorney/organization who has provided legal information necessitated by Penal Code Section 1016.3 in this case given the non-citizen status of the defendant. Should additional services of immigration counsel be deemed necessary, I will prepare an *ex parte* order supported by detailed declaration, alerting the court for the reasons necessitating additional expert consultation in this matter, and I will alert the court that an initial expenditure of \$250.00 has been exhausted.

☐ Attorney was appointed by the Court ☐ Attorney was retained with an order
authorizing ancillary services at public

A 2% Administrative Processing Fee will be deducted.

Name: _____
Vendor ID: _____
Phone Number: _____
Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____

Date

Attorney Signature for funds not exceeding \$250

I _____, the attorney in the above-entitled matter, have reviewed the attached billing information and certify that all work performed in this case as described therein was performed at my direction and authorized by this order.

Attorney Name: _____
Address: _____
Phone Number: _____

Date: _____ Signature: _____

*A comprehensive intake questionnaire provided by the Indigent Defense Administration or the provider has been utilized.